BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 93-132-C - ORDER NO. 94-1099 √

OCTOBER 26, 1994

IN RE: Application of Teledial America, Inc. for a)
Certificate of Public Convenience and)ORDER
Necessity to Provide Intrastate Interexchange)APPROVING
Telecommunications Services Within the State)CERTIFICATE
South Carolina.

This matter comes before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (the Commission) by way of the Application of Teledial America, Inc. (Teledial or the Company) requesting a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity authorizing it to operate as a reseller of telecommunications services in the State of South Carolina. The Company's Application was filed pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. §58-9-280 (Supp. 1993) and the Regulations of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina.

The Commission's Executive Director instructed Teledial to publish, one time, a prepared Notice of Filing in newspapers of general circulation in the affected areas. The purpose of the Notice of Filing was to inform interested parties of Teledial's Application and of the manner and time in which to file the appropriate pleadings for participation in the proceeding.

Teledial complied with this instruction and provided the Commission with proof of publication of the Notice of Filing. Petitions to Intervene were filed by Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell) and the South Carolina Department of

Consumer Affairs (the Consumer Advocate). Southern Bell subsequently moved to withdraw its Intervention in this Docket and did not participate in the hearing on this matter. The Consumer Advocate filed a letter with the Commission stating that it would not participate in any hearing in the matter.

A hearing was commenced on October 12, 1994, at 10:30 a.m., in the Commission's Hearing Room. The Honorable Rudolph Mitchell, Chairman, presided. Faye A. Flowers, Esquire, appeared on behalf of Teledial; F. David Butler, General Counsel, represented the Commission Staff.

In support of its Application, Teledial presented the testimony of Martin Clift, Director of Regulatory Affairs for Teledial. Mr. Clift explained the Company's request for authority to provide interexchange telecommunications services in South Carolina as a non-facilities based reseller. Mr. Clift described the Company's services, its managerial, technological, and financial resources, and its marketing procedures. Mr. Clift agreed with the changes proposed by Staff to Teledial's tariff. Mr. Clift also stated that Teledial did not wish to furnish Alternate Operator Services.

After full consideration of the applicable law, the Company's Application, the evidence presented by the Company and the Commission Staff, the Commission hereby issues its findings of fact and conclusions of law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Teledial is incorporated under the laws of the State of Michigan, and Teledial has received its Certificate of Authority to

Transact Business as a Foreign Corporation in the State of South Carolina.

- 2. Teledial operates as a non-facilities based reseller of interexchange services and wishes to do so in South Carolina.
- 3. Teledial has the experience, capability, and financial resources to provide the services as described in its Application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Based on the above findings of fact, the Commission determines that a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity should be granted to Teledial to provide intrastate interLATA service and to originate and terminate toll traffic within the same LATA, as set forth herein, through the resale of intrastate Wide Area Telecommunications Services (WATS), Message Telecommunications Service (MTS), Foreign Exchange Service, Private Line Service, or any other services authorized for resale by tariffs of carriers approved by the Commission.
- 2. The Commission adopts a rate design for Teledial for its resale services which includes only maximum rate levels for each tariff charge. A rate structure incorporating maximum rate levels with the flexibility for adjustment below the maximum rate levels has been previously adopted by the Commission. In Re: Application of GTE Sprint Communications Corporation, etc., Order No. 84-622, issued in Docket No. 84-10-C (August 2, 1984).
- 3. Teledial shall not adjust its rates below the approved maximum level without notice to the Commission and to the public. Teledial shall file its proposed rate changes, publish its notice of such changes, and file affidavits of publication with the

Commission two weeks prior to the effective date of the changes. However, the public notice requirement is waived, and therefore not required, for reductions below the maximum cap in instances which do not affect the general body of subscribers or do not constitute a general rate reduction. In Re: Application of GTE Sprint

Communications, etc., Order No. 93-638, issued in Docket No. 84-10-C (July 16, 1993). Any proposed increase in the maximum rate level reflected in the tariff which would be applicable to the general body of the Company's subscribers shall constitute a general ratemaking proceeding and will be treated in accordance with the notice and hearing provisions of S.C. Code Ann. §58-9-540 (Supp. 1993).

- 4. Teledial is subject to access charges pursuant to Commission Order No. 86-584, in which the Commission determined that for access purposes resellers should be treated similarly to facilities-based interexchange carriers.
- 5. With regard to the Company's resale of service, an end-user should be able to access another interexchange carrier or operator service provider if they so desire.
- 6. Teledial shall resell the services of only those interexchange carriers or LECs authorized to do business in South Carolina by this Commission. If Teledial changes underlying carriers, it shall notify the Commission in writing.
- 7. With regard to the origination and completion of toll traffic within the same LATA, Teledial shall comply with the terms of Order No. 93-462, Order Approving Stipulation and Agreement, in Docket Nos. 92-182-C, 92-183-C, and 92-200-C (June 3, 1993).

- 8. Teledial shall file surveillance reports on a calendar or fiscal year basis with the Commission as required by Order No. 88-178 in Docket No. 87-483-C. The proper form for these reports is indicated on Attachment A.
- 9. Teledial shall file its revised tariff and accompanying price list reflecting the findings herein and its agreements within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. Further, the tariff shall be filed with the Commission in a loose-leaf binder.
- 10. That this Order shall remain in full force and effect until further Order of the Commission.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

Rudolf Mittell

ATTEST:

Executive Director

(SEAL)

DOCKET NO. 93-132-C - ORDER NO. 94-1099 OCTOBER 26, 1994 ATTACHMENT A

ABOVE).

ANNUAL INFORMATION ON SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS FOR RESELLERS OF TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICE

(1)SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATING REVENUES FOR THE 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING
(2)SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATING EXPENSES FOR THE 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING
(3) RATE BASE INVESTMENT IN SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS* FOR 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING
*THIS WOULD INCLUDE GROSS PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION, MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES, CASH WORKING CAPITAL, CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS, ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAX, CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION AND CUSTOMER DEPOSITS.
(4) PARENT'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE* AT DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING
*THIS WOULD INCLUDE ALL LONG TERM DEBT (NOT THE CURRENT PORTION PAYABLE), PREFERRED STOCK AND COMMON EQUITY.
(5)PARENT'S EMBEDDED COST PERCENTAGE (%) FOR LONG TERM DEBT AND EMBEDDED COST PERCENTAGE (%) FOR PREFERRED STOCK AT YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING
(6)ALL DETAILS ON THE ALLOCATION METHOD USED TO DETERMINE THE AMOUNT OF EXPENSES ALLOCATED TO SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS AS WELL AS METHOD OF ALLOCATION OF COMPANY'S RATE BASE INVESTMENT (SEE #3